BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

MICHAEL WATSON,

Petitioner,

No. PCB 03-134

Application)

CLERK'S OFFICE MAY 0 1 2003

vs.

STATE OF ILLINOIS (Pollution Control Facility Sifing Control Board

COUNTY BOARD OF KANKAKEE COUNTY, ILLINOIS, and WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC.,

Respondent.

# NOTICE OF FILING

TO: See Attached Service List

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on May 1, 2003, we filed with the Illinois Pollution Control Board, an original and 9 copies of the attached Response to WMII's Motion to Quash Subpoenas to Persons at Richard J. Daley College, copies of which are attached hereto and served upon you.

QUERREY & HARROW, LTD.

Jennifer/J. Sackett Po

Jennifer J. Sackett Pohlenz Attorney for Petitioner Michael Watson 175 West Jackson Boulevard Suite 1600 Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 540-7000 Attorney Registration No. 6225990

Printed on Recycled Paper

# PROOF OF SERVICE

Judith M. Teeghman, under penalties of perjury, certifies that she served Notice of Filing and Response to WMII's Motion to Quash Subpoenas to Persons at Richard J. Daley College, on the following parties and persons at their respective addresses/fax numbers, this 1st day of May, 2003, by or before the hour of 4:30 p.m. in the manners stated below:

## Via Facsimile & U.S. Mail

Donald Moran
Pedersen & Houpt
161 North Clark Street
Suite 3100

Chicago, IL 60601-3242 Fax: (312) 261-1149

Attorney for Waste Management of Illinois, Inc.

### Via Facsimile & US Mail

Kenneth A. Leshen
One Dearborn Square
Suite 550
Kankakee, IL 60901
Fax: (815) 933-3397

Representing Petitioner in PCB 03-125

#### Via Facsimile & U.S. Mail

George Mueller George Mueller, P.C. 501 State Street Ottawa, IL 61350 Fax: (815) 433-4913

Representing Petitioner in PCB 03-133

#### Via U.S. Mail

Leland Milk 6903 S. Route 45-52 Chebanse, IL 60922-5153 Interested Party

#### Via Facsimile & U.S. Mail

Charles Helston
Richard Porter
Hinshaw & Culbertson
100 Park Avenue
P.O. Box 1389
Rockford, Illinois 61105-1389

Fax: (815) 490-4901

Representing Kankakee County Board

# Via U. S. Mail

Patricia O'Dell 1242 Arrowhead Drive Bourbonnais, IL 60914 Interested Party

# Via Facsimile

Keith Runyon 1165 Plum Creek Drive Bourbonnaise, IL 60914 Fax: (815) 937-9164 Petitioner in PCB 03-135

#### Via Facsimile & U.S. Mail

L. Patrick Power
956 North Fifth Avenue
Kankakee, IL 60901
Fax: (815) 937-0056

Representing Petitioner in PCB 03-125

#### Via Facsimile & U.S. Mail

Elizabeth S. Harvey, Esq. Swanson, Martin & Bell One IBM Plaza, Suite 2900 330 North Wabash Chicago, IL 60611 Fax: (312) 321-0990

Representing Kankakee County Board

# Via Facsimile (05/01/03) & Hand Delivery

(05/01/03)

Bradley P. Halloran

Illinois Pollution Control Board

James R. Thompson Center, Ste. 11-500

100 W. Randolph Street

Chicago, IL 60601

Hearing Officer

Judith M. Teeghman

Printed on Recycled Paper

### BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

MICHAEL WATSON,

Petitioner,

No. PCB 03-134

vs.

(Pollution Control Facility Siting Appeal)

COUNTY BOARD OF KANKAKEE COUNTY, ILLINOIS, and WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC.,

Consolidated With PCB 03-125, 03-133, 03-135, 03-144)

Respondent.

# RESPONSE TO WMII'S MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENAS TO PERSONS AT RICHARD J. DALEY COLLEGE

Now Comes Petitioner Michael Watson, by and through his attorneys at Querrey & Harrow, Ltd. and as and for his Response to Waste Management of Illinois, Inc.'s (WMII) Motion to Quash the subpoenas to Registrar Saundra Listenbee & Mary Ann Powers of Richard J. Daley College states as follows:

- Petitioner Watson served two subpoenas for testimony at trial. The first to Saundra Listenbee and the second to Mary Ann Powers. Both individuals are employees at Daley College.
- 2. WMII seeks to quash these subpoenas alleging, essentially, that Petitioner Watson has not raised a fundamental fairness issue, and is, instead asking the Illinois Pollution Control Board to reweigh the credibility of Ms. Beaver-McGarr who was one of WMII's purported expert witnesses on Criterion 3. WMII not only has no standing to raise such a motion to quash; it has waived its argument; and it's motivation is simply to exclude or bar the testimony and prevent a truly fundamentally unfair issue to be raised by Petitioner Watson to the Illinois

Pollution Control Board. Therefore, WMII's Motion to Quash should be denied.

- 3. WMII has no standing and has asserted no basis for standing to challenge the subpoena. In United States v. Miller 425 U.S. 435, 48 L. Ed. 2d 71, 96 S. Ct. 1619 (1976), the Illinois Supreme Court held that a defendant lacked standing to challenge a subpoena, when that defendant possessed no private interest in the materials subject to the subpoena. In WMII's Motion to Quash it provides no basis for standing, delineates no private interest or right to protect the documents or the testimony which will be elicited at hearing from disclosure, and presents no representation that it is counsel for the persons subject to the subpoena. In fact, WMII's counsel represented at hearing today that he does not represent the persons subject to the subpoena. Moreover, the persons subject to the subpoena are not challenging it. Counsel for City Colleges of Chicago (of which Daley College is one) has contacted counsel for Petitioner Watson, accepted service on behalf of the two employees identified in the subpoena, and is fully cooperating with the request that was made.
- 4. WMII waived its objections to subpoening of the individuals identified. If this Hearing Officer determines that WMII has standing to move to quash the subject subpoenas, then the Hearing Officer should find that WMII waived its objections to the subpoenas, when it failed to object to the subpoena duces tecum issued to Daley Colleges (and which was filed and served on March 31, 2003).
- 5. Finally, a Motion to Quash a subpoena is not appropriately used to object to or seek to bar admissible evidence. WMII argues that the subpoenas should be quashed, since they don't concern a fundamental fairness issue and WMII alleges "Petitioner fails to demonstrate how said alleged failures prejudiced him or other participants in the public hearing." (Motion ¶2). First, it is not procedurally proper to bar testimony, or find it

Printed on Recycled Paper

31 ଟଲ୍ଲ୍ଡ 1.77 LU LK ମିଷ୍ଟ CUICHAA A31 31ኛ 346 ค7(0 1A 20149007#

r. 00

inadmissible, in response to a motion to quash, opposed to a motion to bar. However, notwithstanding and without waiving that objection to WMII's Motion, the testimony of these individuals is directly relevant to Petitioner Watson's Amended Petition for Review, Paragraph 10(F).

- 6. WMII attempts to downplay the significance of what occurred at hearing. Ms. Beaver-McGarr swore, under oath, among other things, that she obtained a degree from Daley Colleges. Petitioner Watson stopped cross-examination on this issue, and on the issue of her qualifications stemming from the degree-issue, based on WMII's representation that it would produce Ms. Beaver-McGarr's diploma and it would produce her for continued cross-examination on that diploma or failure to produce one. WMII never produced a diploma for Ms. Beaver-McGarr. WMII refused to put Ms. Beaver-McGarr back on the stand to allow Petitioner Watson to finish cross-examination, which was deferred until WMII produced the diploma. No subpoena powers are provided for in the local-level siting process, therefore, Petitioner Watson was not able to obtain Ms. Beaver-McGarr's Daley College records below. However, it did subpoena them in this proceeding and found out that Ms. Beaver-McGarr never obtained a degree from Daley College. In other words, she lied, under oath.
- 7. Why is this fundamentally unfair? Because, the use of perjured testimony is fundamentally unfair and it cannot be relied upon by a trier of fact. People of the State of Illinois v. Moore, 199 Ill. App. 3d 747, 557 N.E.2d 537 (1st Dist. 1990). Therefore, Petitioner Watson should be allowed to present evidence that Ms. Beaver-McGarr perjured herself, her testimony should have been barred, and, as a result of it not being so barred, the decision of the County Board was fundamentally unfair as it relied on the testimony. Further, Petitioner Watson should be allowed to present such evidence, as the proceeding itself was Printed on Recycled Paper

unfair, since Watson relied on and deferred its cross-examination based on WMII's representations that it would produce the diploma and produce Ms. Beaver-McGarr for further questioning on the diploma, both of which representations, WMII later retracted. Therefore, Petitioner Watson should be allowed the opportunity to present evidence of this unfairness and the prejudice it has caused.<sup>1</sup>

WHEREFORE, Petitioner Watson respectfully requests the Hearing Officer deny WMII's Motion to Quash, for the reasons stated above.

Dated: May 1, 2003

PETITIONER MICHAEL WATSON

One of his auto

Jennifer J. Sackett Pohlenz QUERREY & HARROW, LTD. 175 West Jackson Boulevard, Suite 1600 Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 540-7000 Attorney Registration No. 6225990 Attorneys for Petitioner Michael Watson

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> WMII appear to content that Watson has to present evidence or prejudice prior to hearing in this matter. Watson objects to any such implication, as nowhere is that required by the rules of the IPCB and WMII's implications and statements to that effect (including, but not limited to Paragraph 2) should be stricken.



Querrey & Harrow, Ltd. 175 West Jackson Boulevard Suite 1600 Chicago, IL 60604-2827

TEL (312)540-7000 FAX (312)540-0578

Jennifer J. Sackett Pohlenz Direct Dial: (312) 540-7540 E-mail: jpohlenz@querrey.com Other Offices: Crystal Lake, IL Joliet, IL Merrillville, IN New York, NY Waukegan, IL Wheaton, IL

Representative U.K. Office:
London

## FAX TRANSMISSION SHEET

RECEIVED
CLERK'S OFFICE

**DATE:** May 1, 2003

MAY 0 1 2003

TO:	NAME / COMPANY: Bradley Halloran / IPCB Donald Moran / Pedersen & Houpt Kenneth A. Leshen George Mueller L. Patrick Power Elizabeth S. Harvey / Swanson, Martin & Bell Richard Porter / Hinshaw & Culbertson Keith Runyon	FAX NUMBER: STATE OF ILLINOIS (312) 814-3669 Pollution Control Boa (312) 261-1149 (815) 933-3397 (815) 433-4913 (815) 937-0056 (312) 321-0990 (815) 490-4901 (815) 937-9164
		` '

FROM:

Jennifer J. Sackett Pohlenz

**USER NO.:** 

9328

CMR NO.:

65448

NUMBER OF PAGES BEING SENT (INCLUDING COVER SHEET):

IF YOU HAVE ANY DIFFICULTY IN RECEIVING THIS TRANSMISSION PLEASE CALL 312-540-7065 IMMEDIATELY

RETURN TO: POH

<b>SENT</b>	BY	V	•			
~ XX		-	•	 	-	

Please see enclosed Response to WMII Motion to Quash Daley Subpoenas.

The information contained in this facsimile communication is attorney privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom or to which it is addressed. If the recipient of this transmission is not the intended recipient, the recipient is hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify QUERREY & HARROW, LTD. at the above telephone number and return the communication to QUERREY & HARROW, LTD. at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.